

Computer Assignment 2

Eigenstates of Discrete Hamiltonians

The Hamiltonian operator for a two-state system has the form

$$\hat{H} = \varepsilon_1 |\phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_1| + \varepsilon_2 |\phi_2\rangle\langle\phi_2| - \hbar\Omega(|\phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_2| + |\phi_2\rangle\langle\phi_1|)$$

where $|\phi_1\rangle, |\phi_2\rangle$ are orthonormal states that form a complete set.

- (a) Calculate the matrix of this Hamiltonian in the given basis.
- (b) Using your symbolic algebra program, calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian matrix.
- (c) It's nicer to work with as few parameters as possible. Set $\varepsilon_1 = 0$, $\varepsilon_2 \equiv \varepsilon$. (Yes, you will lose an entire term in the Hamiltonian, but that's ok!) Again, calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian.
- (d) Substitute values in the Hamiltonian. Set $\hbar\Omega = 1$ and keep this fixed.
First, use $\varepsilon = 0$. What do the eigenvectors look like?
Next, try $\varepsilon = 5$. How do the eigenvectors change?
To get the complete picture, vary ε between 0 and 5. What happens to the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors?
- (e) To investigate this more generally, expand the eigenvalues and eigenvectors from part (c) in the Taylor series in the ratio $\varepsilon/\hbar\Omega$ (i.e., consider this ratio to be a small parameter) and examine the leading terms of the eigenvectors. What do you observe? Next, assume $\varepsilon/\hbar\Omega \gg 1$ and expand the eigenvalues and eigenvectors in the ratio $\hbar\Omega/\varepsilon$. Is there a qualitative change of the eigenvectors?

Tips

- a) I trust this is straightforward, since this type of problem has appeared in homework and on the first exam; to input a matrix in Mathematica, here's an example: $\{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}$ represents a 2x2 matrix; hint: represent $h\omega$ as "homega" without a space in between: Mathematica will treat $h\omega$ as one variable instead of two, which will simplify derivations later;
- b) Once you have written the Hamiltonian and put it into Mathematica, issue the Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors commands to get the eigenvalues and a set of eigenvectors, respectively. Mathematica will output the eigenvectors as "Mathematica lists" (entities corresponding, but not identical to, 1x2 matrices);
- c) Pay close attention to the wording, though: Mathematica will output one set of eigenvectors, which will not always be normalized - you will have to check to make sure that they are normalized. One way to normalize them is to compute the norm of a vector, and divide the original eigenvector by this norm:
 $\text{norm} = \text{Sqrt}[\text{Vector}[[1]]^2 + \text{Vector}[[2]]^2]$
 $\text{VectorNormalized} = \text{Vector} / \text{norm}$

where $\text{Vector}[[i]]$ is the i th element of a row vector called Vector in this example.

Make sure the new vectors are normalized by issuing:

```
FullSimplify[VectorNormalized[[1]]^2 + VectorNormalized[[2]]^2]
```

Obviously this number should be $1^2=1$ for both vectors.

Now assign zero to epsilon1, and epsilon to epsilon2, and redo the Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

- d) To compute eigenvectors for several values of epsilon at the same time, use the command:

```
Table[MatrixForm[N[eigenvector]],{epsilon,0,5}]
```

This acts as a for-loop that constructs a table containing your eigenvectors, with epsilon taken from 0 to 5, in numerical form (hence the N[] command) displayed as neat 2x1 matrices (hence the command MatrixForm[]). The first 2x1 matrix in the table corresponds to $\epsilon = 0$, the next to $\epsilon = 1$, and so on. You should see the eigenvector entries "splitting" after $\epsilon = 1$, and going towards (1 0) and (0 1). Plot them against epsilon and convince yourselves of this;

- e) First retrieve your values for the eigenvectors before you assigned $h\omega$ to be 1. Now this is the more involving part of the homework the good news is it can be solved without picking up a pen, as is the case for the entire homework. If you assume $\epsilon/(h\omega)$ is small, expand the eigenvector entries as Taylor series in epsilon. The command in Mathematica is

```
Series[function, {x, a, n}]
```

where you'd be expanding around $x = a$, up to order n . Don't worry if you see something like $O[x]^{(n+1)}$ in the output that means "terms of order $(n+1)$ or greater;"

Look at the output you should be able to associate an $\hbar\omega$ to each ϵ so as to get $x = \epsilon / (\hbar\omega)$ to order zero, one, two, etc. Right off the bat ignore the terms of power 2 or higher. You'll have to decide if to ignore the order one terms or not.

Second, for the expansion in $(\hbar\omega)/\epsilon$, you must expand in a Taylor series around $1/\epsilon$. The Series command cannot take $1/\epsilon$ as an expansion parameter so use

```
Series[(eigenvector /. epsilon -> 1/epsilon) /. epsilon -> f, {f, 0, n}]
```

This is a series of transformation rules: in the first, you replace ϵ by $1/\epsilon$, and in the second you rebrand the ϵ as a new variable f , around which you expand in a Taylor series. The two serial transformations are in effect equivalent to “express $v[\epsilon] = w[1/\epsilon] = w[f]$,” or answering “what function w can I find such that if I plug in $1/\epsilon$ into w , I get the function $v[\epsilon]$ that I started with?”•

Once you get the eigenvectors think of $\hbar\omega$ as “coupling terms.” What happens if these coupling terms tend to vanish, for instance? Or what would you expect if they were very large?